

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,035	02/04/2004	Lacrtis Economikos	FIS920030391 2034		
30449 SCHMEISER	7590 03/20/2007 OLSEN & WATTS		EXAMINER		
SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS 22 CENTURY HILL DRIVE			VU, DAVID		
SUITE 302 LATHAM, NY	12110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
22.2.2.2.2.2.3, 2.4.2			2818		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/708,035	ECONOMIKOS ET AL.	ECONOMIKOS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID VU	2818		

•	DAVID VO	2010	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		to the final actuation will	data a la fata a fa
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,.	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Cont. Clade on an dec	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		iii be entereu and an d	ехріанацон от
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			4
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and the state of Charles	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	-4 l 1 d
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner has considered the Applicant's arguments and does not find them to be persuasive. Note that the step of removing a fill layer by a non-planarization process (claim 22, step (d)) can be replaced by a CMP planarization process, a wet or dry etch-back process.

DAVID VU PRIMARY EXAMINER